

# 12 January 2010 [1-10]

# **APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT**

A1038	Date Received: 19 November 2009  Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 10 December 2009  Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 9 December 2009		
Applicant: Queensland Department of Primary Industries & Fisheries			Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:
Title: Irradiation of Persimmon Brief Description of Application: To provide permissions to irradiate persimmons (Diospyros kaki) as a quarantine measure.			Standard 1.5.3
Procedure: General Reasons why: Addition of a new irradiated food in the Table to clause 5 of Standard 1.5.3.		Cost Category (General Procedure): Up to 850 hours (level 2) Reasons why: The assessment will require an updated toxicological, dietary exposure and nutrition assessment. There will also need to be consideration of risk management options commensurate with the risk assessment findings and legal drafting.	Estimated start work: October 2010

## **DECISION**

Application accepted

Date: 9 December 2009

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected?

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No√

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No 🗸

#### Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No√

### Application Handbook Requirements

Which Parts within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application:

**Sections 3.1 and 3.5.3** 

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes✔ No

(indicate where application failed to meet requirements)

Is the checklist completed?

Yes**√** No

What information is not provided? N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes**√** No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes√ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

**Other Comments or Relevant Matters:** 

### **CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME**

**Consultation Strategy:** 

Proposed length of public consultation period/s:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

3 (intensive, narrower focus)

**Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:** 

'Early Bird Notification' due: 11 January 2010

**General Procedure:** 

Commence Assessment (clock start)

Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval Notification to Ministerial Council

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested

Mid-October 2010

Early-March 2011

Late March-Mid May 2011

Mid-July 2011 Late July 2011

Early October 2011